

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,043	03/20/2000	IAN BAIRD-SMITH	350013-65	9395
7	590 03/07/2002			
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY			EXAMINER	
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST 38TH FLOOR			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3727	
•			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/445,043	BAIRD-SMITH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robin A. Hylton	3727	

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

There inal r condit	refere, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [ b) [	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
iave be 7 CFR b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ten filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under (1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	) 🛛 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
ŝ.□	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖾	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,6 and 8-18</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>19-21</u> .
3.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
0.	Other:
	LEE YOUNG

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP TECHNOLOGY CENTER-2700 4

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/445,043

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed limitation of a "prestessed" flexible membrane is a new issue for consideration as well as new matter since no support for the limitation is found in the specification as originally filed..